

A_∞ 代数の幾何学への応用のいくつかについて

梶浦 宏成 (千葉大学 理学研究院)

トポロジーシンポジウム@東海大学

2017年8月22日 (火)

(少し修正版)

Def. [A_∞ -algebra (Stasheff'63)]

$(A, \mathfrak{m} := \{m_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ is an A_∞ -algebra \Leftrightarrow

$A = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} A^r$: \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space,

$\mathfrak{m} := \{m_n : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A\}_{n \geq 1}$: linear maps of degree $|m_n| = (2 - n)$

s.t.

$$0 = \sum_{k+l=n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \pm m_k(a_1, \dots, a_j, \\ m_l(a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{j+l}), a_{j+l+1}, \dots, a_n) ,$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where $a_i \in A^{|a_i|}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

$|m_n| = (2 - n)$ *implies*

$$|m_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)| = (2 - n) + |a_1| + \dots + |a_n|.$$

The A_∞ -relations for $n = 1, 2, 3$:

for $m_1 = d$, $m_2 = \cdot$, $x, y, z \in V$:

$$i) \quad d^2 = 0 ,$$

$$ii) \quad d(x \cdot y) = d(x) \cdot y + (-1)^{|x|} x \cdot d(y) ,$$

$$iii) \quad (x \cdot y) \cdot z - x \cdot (y \cdot z) = d(m_3)(x, y, z).$$

$i) \Leftrightarrow (A, d)$ forms a complex.

$ii) \Leftrightarrow$ Leibniz rule of d w.r.t. the product \cdot .

$iii) \cdot$ is associative **up to homotopy**.

In particular, if $m_3 = 0$, the product \cdot is strictly associative.

An A_∞ -algebra (A, \mathbf{m}) with $m_3 = m_4 = \dots = 0$ is a **DG algebra**.

An A_∞ -algebra (A, \mathbf{m}) with $m_1 = 0$ is called **minimal**.

An Example of minimal A_∞ -algebra

A generated by $e^0 = id, e^2, e^5$

nontrivial A_∞ -product:

$$m_2(e^0, e^2) = m_2(e^2, e^0) = e^2, \quad m_3(e^2, e^2, e^2) = e^5$$

Def. Given two A_∞ -algebras (A, \mathfrak{m}) and (A', \mathfrak{m}') , an A_∞ -**morphism** $f : (A, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (A', \mathfrak{m}')$ is a collection of degree $(1 - k)$ multilinear maps $\mathfrak{f} := \{f_k : A^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A'\}_{k \geq 1}$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_n = n} \pm m'_i(f_{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes f_{k_i})(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i+1+j=k \\ i+l+j=n}} \pm f_k(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes i} \otimes m_l \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes j})(a_1, \dots, a_n) \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Note: For $n = 1$: $m'_1 f_1 = f_1 m_1 \Leftrightarrow$

$f_1 : (A, m_1) \rightarrow (A', m'_1)$ forms a chain map.

Def. $f : (A, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (A', \mathfrak{m}')$ is called an A_∞ -**(quasi)-isomorphism** iff $f_1 : (A, m_1) \rightarrow (A', m'_1)$ is a (quasi)-isomorphism.

Note that A_∞ -quasi-isomorphisms define an equivalence relation.

cf. DGA equivalence

a DGA $\rightarrow \leftarrow \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \leftarrow$ another DGA

chains of DG quasi-isomorphisms

Two important theorems:

Minimal model theorem (Kadeishvili'83)

For any A_∞ -algebra (A, \mathfrak{m}) , there exists an A_∞ -algebra $(H(A), \mathfrak{m}')$ and an A_∞ -quasi-isomorphism $(H(A), \mathfrak{m}') \rightarrow (A, \mathfrak{m})$.

Note that $\mathfrak{m}' = \{m'_1 = 0, m'_2, m'_3, \dots\}$. Such an A_∞ -algebra $(H(A), \mathfrak{m}')$ is called a **minimal model** of (A, \mathfrak{m}) .

★ Minimal models of (A, \mathfrak{m}) are unique up to A_∞ -isomorphisms on $H(A)$.

- $H(A, \mathfrak{m}) := (H(A), m'_2)$ forms a \mathbb{Z} -graded algebra.

More generally ...

Homological perturbation theory (1986~)

(Gugenheim, Lambert, Stasheff, Kadeishvili, Huebschmann,...)

For an A_∞ -algebra (A, m) and

$$(V, d) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\iota} \\ \xleftarrow{\pi} \end{array} (A, m_1) , \quad h : A^r \rightarrow A^{r-1}$$

which satisfy

$$m_1 h + h m_1 = id_A - \iota \circ \pi, \quad \pi \circ \iota = id_V$$

there exists an A_∞ -algebra (V, m') with $m'_1 = d$ and ι, π lift to A_∞ -quasi-isomorphisms.

(\exists an explicit construction using "Feynman graphs".)

A_∞ Yoneda's lemma (cf. Fukaya'01)

Any unital A_∞ -algebra (A, \mathfrak{m}) is A_∞ -quasi-isomorphic to a unital DG-algebra.

$$f : (A, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow \text{a DG-algebra}$$

Note: Two DGAs are DGA equivalent \Leftrightarrow Two DGAs are A_∞ -quasi-isomorphic to each other.

An application: rational homotopy theory for 1-connected spaces

1-conn. rational homotopy types

Quillen'69, Sullivan'79 \iff DGA equivalence classes of cDGAs

(c = commutative)

Kedeishvili'93 \iff C_∞ -isomorphism classes

of 1-conn. minimal C_∞ -algebras

(C_∞ = commutative A_∞ -algebra)

Problem: For a fixed cohomology algebra of 1-connected type,
classify its rational homotopy types !

Note: $m_n = 0$ for large n

for minimal A_∞ -algebras of 1-connected type.

- A : generated by e^0, e^2, e^5 (cf. $S^2 \vee S^5$)

$$m_3(e^2, e^2, e^2) = a \cdot e^5$$

The resulting minimal A_∞ -algebras A_a and A_b are A_∞ -isomorphic to each other iff $a = b = 0$ or $a \neq 0 \neq b$.

however, $A_a, a \neq 0$ do not form C_∞ -algebras.

- Next example:

A : generated by e^0, e^2, e^3, e^6 (cf. $S^2 \vee S^3 \vee S^6$)

Possible higher A_∞ -products:

$$m_3(e^3, e^2, e^2) = a \cdot e^6,$$

$$m_3(e^2, e^3, e^2) = b \cdot e^6,$$

$$m_3(e^2, e^2, e^3) = c \cdot e^6,$$

and

$$m_4(e^2, e^2, e^2, e^2) = d \cdot e^6.$$

C_∞ -condition – vanishing on shuffle products in $T(A[1])$

For m_3 : we obtain $b = 0$, $c = -a$ since

$$0 = m_3(e^2 \cdot e^2 \sqcup e^3) = m_3(e^2, e^2, e^3) + m_3(e^2, e^3, e^2) + m_3(e^3, e^2, e^2)$$

$$0 = m_3(e^2 \cdot e^3 \sqcup e^2) = m_3(e^2, e^3, e^2) + m_3(e^2, e^2, e^3) - m_3(e^2, e^2, e^3)$$

$$0 = m_3(e^3 \cdot e^2 \sqcup e^2) = m_3(e^3, e^2, e^2) - m_3(e^3, e^2, e^2) - m_3(e^2, e^3, e^2).$$

For m_4 : $d = 0$ since

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= m_4(e^2 \cdot e^2 \sqcup e^2 \cdot e^2) \\ &= (+(+1 - 1 + 1) - (-1 + 1) + (1))m_4(e^2, e^2, e^2, e^2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

Two rational homotopy types whose cohomology algebras are that of $S^2 \vee S^3 \vee S^6$.

($A(a = -c \neq 0, b = d = 0)$ and $A(a = b = c = d = 0)$)

• In case $S^{i_1} \vee S^{i_2} \vee \dots \vee S^{i_n}$, $1 < i_1 < \dots < i_n$?

••• classified in cases $n \leq 7$ by [Jyounouchi'16](#).

only finitely many rational homotopy types in these cases.

The categorical version:

Def. [Fukaya'93] An A_∞ -category $\mathcal{C} \Leftrightarrow$

- $Ob(\mathcal{C}) = \{a, b, \dots\}$: a collection of objects
- $\mathcal{C}(a, b) := \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{C}^r(a, b)$: \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space (of morphisms)
- a collection of multilinear maps

$$m_n : \mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(a_n, a_{n+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(a_1, a_{n+1})$$

of degree $(2 - n)$ defining an A_∞ -structure.

In particular, \mathcal{C} with $m_3 = m_4 = \cdots = 0$ is called a **DG-category**.

- A_∞ -functor — analog of A_∞ -morphism.
- A_∞ -quasi-isomorphism.
 - category version of A_∞ -quasi-isomorphism.
- A_∞ -equivalence functor
 - (defined for A_∞ -categories with identity morphisms.)
 - a generalization of an A_∞ -quasi-isomorphism so that it defines an equivalence functor on the cohomology categories.

There exists a way to construct a triangulated category $Tr(\mathcal{C})$ from an A_∞ -category \mathcal{C} :

(Bondal-Kapranov'91(DG), Kontsevich'94 (A_∞))

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_\infty\text{-category} \\ \mathcal{C} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_\infty\text{-category} \\ Pre-Tr(\mathcal{C}) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} H^0 \downarrow \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{tri. category} \\ Tr(\mathcal{C}) \end{array} \right\} \end{array}$$

It is known that $Tr(\mathcal{C}) \simeq Tr(\mathcal{C}')$ if $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}'$. (cf. Seidel'08)

This construction is applied to ...

Homological mirror symmetry conjecture (Kontsevich'94) :

If a symplectic manifold M and a complex manifold \check{M} are mirror dual to each other, then

$$\text{Tr}(Fuk(M)) \simeq D^b(\text{coh}(\check{M}))$$

holds, where $Fuk(M)$ is the Fukaya (A_∞ -)category on M .

How to understand this duality ?

Kontsevich-Soibelman'00~

Usually, the complex side $D^b(\text{coh}(\check{M}))$ has a natural DG-structure so that

$$D^b(\text{coh}(\check{M})) \simeq \text{Tr}(DG(\text{hol}(\check{M})))$$

$DG(\text{hol}(\check{M}))$: DG category of holomorphic vector bundles on \check{M}

cf.

DGA $(\Omega(\check{M}), d, \wedge)$ of differential forms on \check{M}

⋮

DGA $(\Omega^{0,*}(\check{M}), \bar{\partial}, \wedge)$ of anti-holomorphic differential forms on \check{M}

⋮

DG category $DG(\text{hol}(\check{M}))$ where each holomorphic vector bundle

has a holomorphic structure $D := \bar{\partial} + A^{0,1}$, $D^2 = 0$.

Hope we can take full subcategories

$$\mathcal{C} \subset Fuk(M), \quad \mathcal{C}' \subset DG(hol(\check{M}))$$

such that $Tr(\mathcal{C}) \simeq Tr(Fuk(M))$, $Tr(\mathcal{C}') \simeq Tr(DG(hol(\check{M})))$,

and $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}'$ as A_∞ -categories. \Rightarrow This implies $Tr(\mathcal{C}') \simeq Tr(\mathcal{C})$.

★ Furthermore, the A_∞ -equivalence $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ is obtained

by the homological perturbation theory !

Outline to obtain $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$:

B : n -dim. mfd (equipped with tropical affine, Hessian structures!)

$\dots \rightarrow T^*B$: symplectic manifold

$\dots \rightarrow M := T^*B/\mathbb{Z}^n$: symplectic torus fibration

A_∞ -category $M(B)$ of Morse homotopy on B :

$$Ob(M(B)) = C^\infty(B),$$

For $f, g \in Ob(M(B))$, $Hom(f, g)$ is the Morse complex of $f - g$.

- **Fukaya, Oh'93,'97**: $M(B)$ is equivalent to the full subcategory of $Fuk(T^*B)$ consisting of Lagrangian sections $graph(df)$.

- $M(B)$ is A_∞ -quasi-isomorphic to a **DG category** $DG(B)$
via the homological perturbation theory

where $Ob(DG(B)) = Ob(M(B))$,

$$Hom_{DG(B)}(f, g) = \Omega(B), \quad D = d + df \wedge$$

- Extend these stories to torus fibrations
- The DG structure in $DG(B)$ corresponds to that in $DG(hol(\check{M}))$
where $\check{M} := TB/\mathbb{Z}^n$ is the dual torus fibration of M .

(cf. forms on $B \leftrightarrow$ anti-hol. forms on TB)

This strategy works well for $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ and T^2 (H.K)

Appendix: Construction of $Tr(\mathcal{C})$ from \mathcal{C}

Given the A_∞ -category \mathcal{C} , a **twisted complex** (\mathcal{X}, Φ) is a pair

$$\mathcal{X} := X_1[n_1] \oplus \cdots \oplus X_l[n_l],$$

$$\Phi := \{\phi_{ij} \in \mathcal{C}^0(X_i[n_i], X_j[n_j])\}_{i,j=1,\dots,l}$$

satisfying the A_∞ -Maurer-Cartan equation

$$m_1(\Phi) + m_2(\Phi, \Phi) + m_3(\Phi, \Phi, \Phi) + \cdots = 0.$$

A twisted complex (\mathcal{X}, Φ) is called **one-sided** if $\phi_{ij} = 0$ for $i \geq j$.

The A_∞ -category $Pre-Tr(\mathcal{C}) =: Tw(\mathcal{C})$

of one-sided twisted complexes is defined as follows.

- The objects = one-sided complexes (\mathcal{X}, Φ) .
- For one-sided complexes $(\mathcal{X}, \Phi), (\mathcal{Y}, \Psi)$,
the space of morphisms is

$$Tw(\mathcal{C})((\mathcal{X}, \Phi), (\mathcal{Y}, \Psi)) := " \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) ".$$

- The A_∞ -structure m_n^{Tw} is

$$m_n^{Tw}(\varphi_{12}, \dots, \varphi_{n(n+1)}) := \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$$

$$m_*((\Phi_1)^{k_1}, \varphi_{12}, (\Phi_2)^{k_2}, \dots, \varphi_{n(n+1)}, (\Phi_{n+1})^{k_{n+1}}),$$

where $\varphi_{i(i+1)} \in Tw(\mathcal{C})((\mathcal{X}_i, \Phi_i), (\mathcal{X}_{i+1}, \Phi_{i+1}))$.

Then, $Tr(\mathcal{C}) := H^0(Tw(\mathcal{C}))$, namely,

$Tr(\mathcal{C})((\mathcal{X}, \Phi), (\mathcal{Y}, \Psi))$ is the zero-th cohomology of $Tw(\mathcal{C})((\mathcal{X}, \Phi), (\mathcal{Y}, \Psi))$,

m_2^{Tw} induces the composition structure in $Tr(\mathcal{C})$ and the higher A_∞ -structure $m_3^{Tw}, m_4^{Tw}, \dots$ are abandoned.